1	STATE OF NAVADA		
2	EMPLOYEE MANAGEMENT COMMITTE		
3	MEETING TRANSCRIPT		
4	SEPTEMBER 21, 2023		
5			
6			
7	DUPREE: And I'm going to start this meeting and		
8	I'm going to call this meeting in order. First item on the		
9	agenda is public comment. During public comment, no issue		
10	that's raised in public comment can be discussed or voted on,		
11	but it can be added to a future agenda if the parties decide		
12	to do that. Is there any public comment in the north?		
13	Hearing none, is there any public comment anywhere else in the		
14	state?		
15	UNIDENTIFIED: Do we have any public comment here?		
16	UNIDENTIFIED: Will we be able to make comment at the		
17	end?		
18	UNIDENTIFIED: Yeah, there's public comment at the end.		
19	Yes.		
20	DUPREE: We can do it either beginning or end if		
21	you want to.		
22	CASE: At this time it appears no public comment		
23	in the south.		
24	DUPREE: Okay. Ava, I'm guessing there's probably		
25	no public in your office, right?		

CASE: No.

1.5

DUPREE: Okay. With that I'm going to move to

Committee introduction, but first everybody, we have a brand

new member and Nora's going to come up and talk about that for

us for a second. Welcome, new member.

JOHNSON: Good morning, members of the public, members of the Committee. I would like to introduce Mary Gordon, our newly appointed member, she's been appointed to the management side, comes to us from DPS, and I'm going to let Mary say a few words and introduce herself.

GORDON: Good morning everyone. Again, my name is Mary Gordon. As Nora said, I come from DPS where I'm a Personnel Officer III there. Prior to my tour at DPS, I've been with Department of Motor Vehicles, NDOT, and I've been with the state for approximately 18 years and decided I'd like to participate and become a member of the EMC, and I'm happy to be here and happy to learn and happy to contribute.

DUPREE: Welcome, Mary. I'm going to continue with Committee introductions. My name is Tracy Dupree. I'm Committee Chair. I've been with the City of Nevada since (inaudible) was a boy, about 29 -- a little over 29-and-a-half years, so we're getting close now. I currently work with DETR, but I have worked at the university system and Division of Welfare and Supportive Services (inaudible). So if you name the agency, I've either been there or been around it.

Ava, you want to go next? 1 2 CASE: My name is Ava Case. I'm the ESD Manager for the rurals for Fallon and Winnemucca. I've been with the 3 state for approximately 11 years, background in heavy HR so I 5 hope to help and assist as needed. Okay. Down south. 6 DUPREE: 7 I'm Turessa Russell. I am with University RUSSELL: of Nevada Las Vegas, and I've been with the state about 26 8 years and 23 of those years at UNLV. 10 DUPREE: Wow. Todd Weiss, Deputy Attorney General for 11 WEISS: the EMC. Been with the state for about two-and-a-half years. 12 Nora Johnson, Division of Human Resource 13 JOHNSON: 14 Management. I've been with the state for eight years. 15 Roxanne Hardy, I'm the EMC coordinator and HARDY: I've been with the state for a year. 16 Okay. That takes care of the 17 DUPREE: 18 interactions. I'd like a motion to adopt the agenda as written. 19 20 RUSSELL: Turessa for the record. I move that we 21 adopt the agenda. 22 Thank you, Turessa. I will second that DUPREE: 23 motion. All in favor say aye. 2.4 MEMBERS: Aye.

Okay. Anybody opposed to adopting the

25

motion to adopt the agenda? Okay.

UNIDENTIFIED: Point in --

DUPREE: Oh, yeah, point in order.

UNIDENTIFIED: Point in order, Chair.

DUPREE: Yes?

6 UNIDENTIFIED: I believe Ava's got herself muted so we 7 haven't heard her vote.

DUPREE: Yeah. Ava?

CASE: I'm sorry.

10 DUPREE: That's all right.

CASE: I got lost.

DUPREE: These things happen. I thought that was going on, but are you in favor of adopting the motion, the agenda as agreed?

CASE: Agreed.

DUPREE: Okay. That's pretty much unanimous, I think. So the agenda is adopted. Next we have a whole bunch of minutes to approve and what I'm going to do is give the Committee about -- I'm going to give you about five minutes and then I'm going to come and call you to order and we're going to go through these pretty quick and if anybody needs any changes, we will mention it then. So it's now 9:35. The meeting is still in order. We're just going to look at minutes for a few minutes and I'll yell at you again at 9:40. Okay, it is 9:40 and we're back on the record in Minute

Palooza. So with that, the Chair would like to entertain a motion to either -- does anyone want any changes to the minutes of September 9th, 2021? CASE: This is Ava Case. For the record, I make a motion for approval of the minutes as submitted for September 9th, 2021. DUPREE: Okay. Turessa for the record. I second. RUSSELL: DUPREE: Thank you, Turessa. We have a motion to second. All in favor of adopting the minutes as presented for September 9, 2021, say aye. MEMBERS: Aye. Okay. I think I heard all the ayes I need DUPREE: to hear that one passed the unanimously. Which brings us to approval of minutes for September 23, '21. Does anyone have either idea for changes or motion to adopt those minutes as presented? Turessa for the record. RUSSELL: DUPREE: Yes, Turessa? RUSSELL: I move that we approve the minutes for September 23rd, 2021. DUPREE: Okay. CASE: Ava Case for the record. Second. DUPREE: Thank you, Ava. All in favor of approving

the minutes as presented for September 23rd, 2021, say aye.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 MEMBERS: Aye. Okay. That's unanimous. That brings us 2 DUPREE: 3 to approval of minutes for October 7th, 2021. Does anyone have any changes for those minutes? Hearing none, the Chair 4 5 would like to entertain a motion to approve said minutes. RUSSELL: Turessa for the record. 6 7 Yes, Turessa? DUPREE: I move that we approve the minutes for 8 RUSSELL: 9 October 7th, 2021. 10 Thank you, Turessa. Do I have a second? DUPREE: Ava Case, second. 11 CASE: 12 DUPREE: Thank you, Ava. All in favor of approving the minutes as presented for October 7th, 2021, please say 13 14 aye. 15 MEMBERS: Aye. That's unanimous. Minutes for 16 DUPREE: Okay. October 7th, 2021 pass. The chair will like to entertain a 17 motion for either changes or approval of minutes for October 18 21st, 2021. 19 20 CASE: This is Ava Case for the record, make a 21 motion to approve the minutes for October 21st, 2021 as 22 submitted. 23 DUPREE: Thank you, Ava. Do I have a second? Turessa for the record. I second. 2.4 RUSSELL: 25 Thank you, Turessa. All in favor of DUPREE:

approving the minutes as presented for October 21st, 2021, say 1 2 aye. MEMBERS: 3 Aye. DUPREE: That's unanimous. Minutes for October 4 5 21st, 2021 have been approved. Chair would like to entertain 6 a motion for any changes or a motion to approve minutes as submitted for November 4, 2021. 7 For the record, this is Ava Case making 8 CASE: 9 motion to approve minutes for November 4, 2021 as submitted. 10 DUPREE: Thank you, Ava. Turessa for the record. I'll second. 11 RUSSELL: 12 DUPREE: Thank you, Turessa. All in favor of approving the minutes as submitted for November 4, 2021, say 13 14 aye. 1.5 MEMBERS: Aye. That's everybody. Minutes for November 4, 16 DUPREE: 2021 passed unanimously. Okay. Chair would like to entertain 17 a motion for any changes or approval of minutes as submitted 18 for November 18, 2021. 19 This is Ava Case for the record. 20 CASE: I make a motion to approve minutes for November 18, 2021 as submitted. 21 22 DUPREE: Thank you, Ava. 23 RUSSELL: Turessa for the record. I'll second. Thank you, Turessa. All in favor of 24 DUPREE:

approving the minutes as submitted for November 18, 2021,

25

2 MEMBERS: Aye. Okay. Was that a -- the second person, 3 DUPREE: was that an aye or a nay? I think it was an aye. I'll go 4 5 with aye, passage unanimously. Approval of minutes for October 18th, 2021, pass. Okay. That brings us to approval 6 of minutes for January 20, 2022. I'd like to entertain a 7 motion for any changes or approval of those minutes as submitted. Turessa Russell for the record. 10 RUSSELL: 11 DUPREE: Yes, Turessa? I move that we approve the minutes for 12 RUSSELL: January 20th, 2022 as submitted. 13 14 DUPREE: Thank you. Second. 15 CASE: I have a motion from Turessa and a second 16 DUPREE: from Ava. All in favor of approving the minutes as submitted 17 for January 20th, 2022, say aye. 18 19 MEMBERS: Aye. That's four. Minutes for January 20, 2022 20 DUPREE: have been approved unanimously. Chair would like to entertain 21 22 a motion for any changes or approval of minutes from April 23 7th, 2022. Turessa for the record? 2.4 RUSSELL:

Yes, Turessa?

please say aye.

1

25

1 RUSSELL: I move that we approve the minutes for April 7th, 2022 as submitted. 2 Okay, I'll second that one. All in favor 3 DUPREE: of approving the minutes as submitted for April 7th, 2022, say 5 aye. MEMBERS: Aye. 6 7 That's everybody. Minutes for April 7th, DUPREE: 2022 have passed. April 7, '22 as submitted as passed unanimously. Chair would like to entertain a motion for changes or approval as submitted for the minutes for June 9th, 10 2022. 11 I, Ava Case for the record, make a motion 12 CASE: to approve the minutes for June 9th, 2022 as submitted. 13 14 DUPREE: Thank you, Ava. 15 GORDON: Mary, for the record. I second. 16 DUPREE: Mary seconds for the record. Thank you, Mary. All in favor of approving the minutes as submitted for 17 June 9th, 2022, say aye. 18 19 MEMBERS: Aye. 20 DUPREE: That's unanimous. Minutes have been 21 approved as submitted for June 9th, 2022. The Chair would 22 like to entertain a motion for any changes or approval of 23 minutes as submitted for July 14th, 2022. Turessa for the record. 2.4 RUSSELL:

Yes, Turessa.

25

1 RUSSELL: I motion that we approve the minutes for July 14th, 2022 as submitted. 2 Thank you. I'll second that motion. 3 DUPREE: in favor of approving the minutes as submitted for July 14th, 4 2022, say aye. 5 MEMBERS: 6 Aye. 7 That's everybody. The Chair would like to DUPREE: entertain a motion for any changes or approval of minutes as 8 9 submitted for September 8th, 2022. Mary, for the record. 10 GORDON: 11 DUPREE: Yes, Mary. I make a motion to approve the minutes for 12 GORDON: September 8th, 2022. 13 14 DUPREE: Okay. I'll second your motion. RUSSELL: Turessa. 15 Oh, Turessa, go ahead. 16 DUPREE: Turessa. I'll second. 17 RUSSELL: 18 DUPREE: Okay. All in favor of approving the minutes as submitted for, where am I at here, I think it was 19 20 at September 8th, 2022, say aye. 21 MEMBERS: Aye. 22 Okay. (Inaudible.) Any opposition? DUPREE: 23 Okay. The minutes for September 8th, 2022 have been approved as submitted. The Chair would like to entertain a motion for 24

changes or approval of minutes as submitted for September 22,

25

122. 1 Mary, for the record. 2 GORDON: Yes, Mary? DUPREE: 3 GORDON: I make a motion to approve the minutes for 4 5 September 22nd, 2022. 6 DUPREE: Okay. 7 Turessa, I'll second. RUSSELL: Thank you, Turessa. All in favor of 8 DUPREE: approving the minutes as submitted for September 22, 2022, say 10 aye. 11 MEMBERS: Aye. Okay. That's unanimous. The minutes for 12 DUPREE: 13 September 22, '22 have been approved as submitted. Chair 14 would like to entertain a motion for any changes to or approval of minutes as submitted for April 26th, 2023 -- or 15 no, April 20th. Fingerprint on the glasses. April 20th, 16 2023. 17 Mary for the record. 18 GORDON: DUPREE: Yes, Mary. 19 20 GORDON: I make a motion to approve the minutes for 21 April 20th, 2023. 22 DUPREE: Thank you. 23 RUSSELL: Turessa. I'll second. 24 Thank you, Turessa. All in favor of DUPREE:

approving the minutes as submitted for April 20th, 2023, say

25

1 aye. 2 MEMBERS: Aye. DUPREE: Okav. The Chair would like to entertain a 3 motion for any changes or approval of minutes as submitted for 4 June 8th, 2023. 5 GORDON: Mary for the record. 6 7 RUSSELL: Turessa. Somebody have an issue? Oh, that was --8 DUPREE: 9 Turessa, did you want to make a motion? Where are we at? 10 RUSSELL: I'll let the other Committee member make 11 the motion. You do it. 12 DUPREE: Mary for the record. I make a motion to 13 GORDON: 14 approve the minutes for June 8th, 2023. Turessa for the record. I'll second. 15 RUSSELL: 16 DUPREE: Okay. All in favor of approving the minutes as submitted for June 8th, 2023, say aye. 17 18 MEMBERS: Aye. DUPREE: Motion carries anonymously. Okay. 19 20 you everybody for getting us through minutes. (Inaudible) is 21 at 2023. Item number 19, discussion, possible motion related 22 to grievance 9516, Gina Ringwalt, Department of Business and 23 Industry. Anybody have any thoughts on that one? RUSSELL: Turessa for the record? 2.4

Yes, Turessa?

25

```
1
         RUSSELL:
                        I'm leaning towards moving this forward
    for hearing.
 2
 3
                        Okay. anybody else have any thoughts on
         DUPREE:
    that?
 4
 5
         GORDON:
                        Mary for the record.
         DUPREE:
                        Yes, Mary?
 6
 7
                        I'm newer to this, but --
         GORDON:
 8
         DUPREE:
                        It's okay.
 9
         GORDON:
                        -- I'm not seeing anything that's really
    new to move it forward.
10
                               Turessa, why do you think it needs
11
         DUPREE:
                        Yeah.
    to -- I don't want to put you on the spot here, but why do you
12
13
    think it needs to move forward toward a hearing?
14
         RUSSELL:
                        I wish I'd put more in my notes. When it
15
    comes to the reprimand, I don't remember being able to see the
    actual reprimand.
16
17
         DUPREE:
                        Okay.
                        And I hesitate on not hearing a written
18
         RUSSELL:
    reprimand in case there is something that we really do need to
19
20
    cover.
21
                        All right. Anybody else have any thoughts
         DUPREE:
22
    on that?
23
         CASE:
                        This is Ava for the record. I did not see
    anything different in it to move forward. It just seems like
24
25
    she's talking about some secret files, which -- but there is
```

no evidence of that. I just think it needs to be moved 1 forward with the (inaudible). 2 Okay. I mean, part of her concern was 3 that she was not able to make an appointment to see her files, 5 but I believe that was resolved. I think she was able to make an appointment with Agency HR services. 6 7 CASE: Yes. 8 GORDON: And then as far as, I mean, the secret 9 file, she's really not identified any --What exactly is she worried about? 10 DUPREE: 11 can't tell. 12 Yeah, and she hasn't really shown any proof of such secret file. I don't -- she's under the 13 14 assumption, but that's her assumption. DUPREE: Yeah. 15 16 GORDON: The only changes to the written reprimand that was issued was just a small change in the verbiage as far 17 as it was -- what was it? It was a directive or from the 18 They had it -- the verbiage was -- and they 19 20 corrected that. 21 DUPREE: Yeah. 22 And I think she was under the impression GORDON:

that by changing the written reprimand and updating that would

reset where she would be able to submit another file, another

23

24

25

grievance.

I think by changing that word, she 1 DUPREE: Yeah. assumed that we had sustained the grievance, and I didn't see 2 that. 3 GORDON: Mm-mm. 4 5 WEISS: Chair? DUPREE: Yeah? 6 This is Deputy Attorney General Todd 7 WEISS: Weiss. I just want to remind the Committee members that our policy is that we need to be able to tell if there is a grievable issue strictly based on what is submitted with the 10 grievance in the grievance itself. 11 12 DUPREE: Right. We don't move things forward to fact find, 13 WEISS: 14 we don't move things forward to try to figure out if there's a grievable issue later on. If we can't tell a grievable issue 1.5 16 based on the grievance, what was submitted with the grievance, then the posture is denied. 17 Thank you, Todd. Anybody want to come up 18 DUPREE: with a motion here? 19 20 CASE: This is Ava Case for the record, I make a 21 motion that we deny the grievance or what would I say, move to 22 uphold our previous decision. 23 Deputy Attorney General Todd Weiss. what's our language for this? 24

Nora Johnson for the record. Based on

25

JOHNSON:

```
Member Case's statement, the language could be a motion to
    deny hearing based on the EMC's previous decision.
 2
         DUPREE:
                        Okay. It sounds good when you say it.
 3
    we have a second on that motion?
 4
                        Chair?
 5
         WEISS:
         DUPREE:
                        Yep. Oh --
 6
 7
                        Chair, back up a little bit. Nora was
         WEISS:
    giving us the language. A member still has to make the
 8
   motion.
                        All right. Okay.
10
         DUPREE:
                        This is Ava Case for the record. I make a
11
         CASE:
   motion to deny grievance 9516.
12
                        Mary for the record. I second.
13
         GORDON:
14
         DUPREE:
                        Okay. Motion and second to deny grievance
    9516. All in favor of that motion, say aye. Aye.
1.5
                        Point of order?
16
         RUSSELL:
17
         DUPREE:
                        Yep.
18
         RUSSELL:
                        I think it would behoove to state, given
    the motion, as to why we are denying the grievance.
19
20
                        Okay. Ava, do we want to add that
         DUPREE:
21
    verbiage in there that Nora just talked about?
22
         CASE:
                        Sure. I make a motion of denial to 9516
23
    due to information not grievance-able, paperwork not within.
                        Todd, do you have any thoughts on that
2.4
         DUPREE:
```

25

one?

```
Yeah, that's a little clunky. Let's -- I
 1
         WEISS:
    just want to make sure this one gets right so let's -- Ava,
 2
    why don't you let you write down your motion?
 3
         CASE:
                        Yeah. Maybe due to documentation not
 4
 5
   provided per grievance --
 6
         DUPREE:
                        What about if you just said based on EMC's
 7
                        Is that good enough?
    previous decision?
 8
         RUSSELL:
                        Turessa for the record. I would support
 9
    that amended motion.
10
         DUPREE:
                        Okay.
                        This is Ava Case for the record. I would,
11
         CASE:
    based on EMC documentation from prior 9516, I move to deny or
12
   uphold previous (inaudible).
13
14
         DUPREE:
                        You want to --
15
         WEISS:
                        The problem with that motion is the
16
   previous decision was a different grievance number.
                        Okay. So just based on EMC decision,
17
         CASE:
   would that be --
18
                        Prior EMC decision would probably work.
19
         WEISS:
20
         DUPREE:
                        Yeah.
21
         CASE:
                        Let's try this one more time. This is Ava
22
    Case for the record, move to deny a motion for prior based on
23
    EMC -- I can't get this out right. Deny the motion based on
   prior EMC decision.
2.4
```

For clarification, Ava, do you mean

25

denying the grievance? Cause we're not talking about the 1 motion, we're talking about the grievance. 2 What? I'm sorry, Tracy. Who was that? 3 CASE: You said you're denying the motion, 4 DUPREE: 5 CASE: Yes. You're working on the motion. What we're 6 DUPREE: 7 denying is the grievance 9516. Okay. You want me try this one more time? 8 CASE: This is Ava Case for the record. I would like to deny the motion for 9516 based on EMC decision prior. 10 Turessa for the record. 11 RUSSELL: DUPREE: Yes, Turessa. 12 I don't want to step on any toes, but I 13 RUSSELL: 14 would offer a (inaudible) amendment to motion to deny 1.5 grievance 9516 based on prior EMC decision, and leave it at that? 16 Sounds good. Ava, what do you think of 17 DUPREE: that? 18 Can she just say it and I can second it? 19 CASE: 20 DUPREE: We can do it that way. We haven't voted 21 yet, so. 22 Deputy Attorney General Todd Weiss. Just WEISS: 23 for point of order, Ava how that would work is you would withdraw your motion and Turessa would make her motion, and 24

25

then we would second a vote on that.

```
CASE:
                        Ava for the record. I withdraw my motion.
 1
         DUPREE:
                        Okay.
 2
                        Yeah, now you can make your motion.
 3
         WEISS:
                        Okay. Turessa for the record. I motion
 4
         RUSSELL:
 5
    that we deny grievance 9516 based on prior EMC decision.
         GORDON:
                        Mary for the record, I second.
 6
 7
                        Okay. Thank you, Turessa, for a motion
         DUPREE:
    and thank you, Mary, for the second. All in favor of the
 8
 9
    motion, say aye.
10
         MEMBERS:
                        Aye.
11
         DUPREE:
                        Okay. Any opposed? Motion passes
    unanimously. That brings us onto item number 20, discussion
12
    and possible action related to grievance 9532, Perry Chung,
13
14
    department of Business and Industry. Anybody have any
    thoughts on that one?
1.5
16
         GORDON:
                        Mary for the record.
                        Yes, Mary?
17
         DUPREE:
                        Similar to the previous grievance that we
18
         GORDON:
    heard, if I can, may I make a motion?
19
20
         DUPREE:
                        Yes, you can.
21
                        I motion to deny grievance 9532 based on
         GORDON:
22
    previous EMC decision.
23
         DUPREE:
                        Okay.
                               Do I have a second on that motion
    or any discussion on it?
24
25
         CASE:
                        This is Ava Case for the record.
```

second.

1.5

DUPREE: Okay. All in favor of approving Ava's -- or approving Mary's motion to deny grievance 9532, say aye.

MEMBERS: Aye.

DUPREE: Okay. Grievance 9532 has been denied unanimously. Item 21, discussion, possible action, grievance 9366, Tyrone Cromwell, Department of Commerce --

GORDON: Correction.

RUSSELL: Turessa for the record.

DUPREE: Yes, Turessa?

RUSSELL: I would move this grievance forward. We have previously heard grievances when it comes to compensation and shift, and whether or not the grievance should be -- the time that their grieving should be paid or not. However, I'm cautious about stepping on federal regulations, so we may need some help from Counsel on making sure that we limit the scope of the grievance that has moved forward to stay out of the federal lane that we do not belong in.

JOHNSON: Nora Johnson, Chair.

DUPREE: Yes, Nora?

JOHNSON: Nora Johnson for the record. For clarification on that, and DAG Weiss, correct me if I'm wrong, former DAG for the EMC, Lisa Evans had made a recommendation in prior hearings that while the EMC may have jurisdiction over these types of grievances to discuss the actual quality

1 of the application of the federal law, that it would not be correct in the EMC's purview to negate or negotiate federal 2 3 law. WEISS: I'm sorry, you broke up a little bit at 4 5 the end, Nora. JOHNSON: Sorry, Todd. So Nora Johnson for the 6 7 record. The previous DAG advice that we received from DAG Evans was that the EMC may have jurisdiction to discuss the equal application of the law, but does not have the jurisdiction to negate or reinterpret the law. 10 Deputy Attorney Todd Weiss. 11 WEISS: Yeah. a hundred percent correct. We are not in the business of 12 determining if federal law is proper but, you know, we can 13 14 decide if it's been equally applied among all similarly 1.5 situated employees, and that's the same with state law as well. It's really no different. I mean, we don't validate 16 the state law either, but we can always ensure that the law is 17 being equally applied or applied as we best understand the 18 law. 19 20 They're basic -- Turessa Russell for the RUSSELL: 21 record. They're basically saying what I'm trying to do, but 22 not as clear as my intention is. 23 Well, they got to (inaudible) it up a

Turessa Russell for the record.

little bit. So do you have a motion?

RUSSELL:

2.4

25

21

I motion

```
that we move grievance 9366 for Tyrone Cromwell for hearing
 1
    with the scope being limited to whether or not (inaudible).
 2
         DUPREE:
                        Whether or not the law is being applied
 3
 4
    fairly here?
 5
         RUSSELL:
                        Correct. I just can't get that word out
 6
    of my mouth that the state laws or whatever applicable laws
 7
    were limiting -- let me write it out.
 8
         DUPREE:
                        Yeah, okay. No problem.
 9
         GORDON:
                        Chair, this is Mary.
                        Yes, Mary.
10
         DUPREE:
                        I'm not quite sure where we're at in the
11
         GORDON:
    discussion. Are we still in the discussion or making a
12
   motion?
13
14
         DUPREE:
                        She is working on writing a motion.
1.5
    still in discussion phase.
16
         GORDON:
                        Okay.
                        We could discuss the thing either before
17
         DUPREE:
    or after the motion is written.
18
                        I have a couple questions.
19
         GORDON:
20
         DUPREE:
                        Okay.
21
         GORDON:
                        Are we able to review at the same time
22
    grievance number 9366, 9506 and 9507? I do believe those
23
    other grievances all are relating to the meal break situation
    similar to this.
2.4
```

25

DUPREE:

If we're going to move one to a hearing, I

think we have to move all of them to a hearing so we should probably do that as a walk.

1

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

And then second, I'm not quite sure as far GORDON: as moving it forward to a hearing, I feel that we should reference NAC 284.524, reporting for work, work weeks, work days, periods for meals, and rest period. In that regulation, it does say that the appointing authority shall provide a meal period and rest period to an employee who has an innovative work schedule during each workday with a half hour to an hour meal period must be provided. I don't see where it says it needs to be paid. Only I see on number 4 of that NAC the requirement to relieve an employee for a half hour to an hour meal period does not apply to an employee who receives a paid meal period and number 5, the requirement for arrest period does not apply to an employee who works directly with inmates at a correctional institution. So I don't know if we have the jurisdiction.

DUPREE: Yeah, we're back to that same jurisdictional question. Todd, do you have any thoughts on that?

WEISS: I do. Deputy Attorney General Todd Weiss.

I would advise against making the motion to hearing too

specific because there is a lot stated here. There's codes,

there's NRSs. My recommendation would be to leave it a little

bit open-ended. I think one of the recommendations was -- one

of the proposed motions was move the hearing to evaluate, you know, the equal application of the applicable laws. I think something like that will be very appropriate but I think that trying to make too narrow might back us into a corner and remove us from looking at something that we might need to look at a time (inaudible).

DUPREE: What are your thoughts on grouping the similar ones together in one block? Can we vote on the all or do we have to vote on each individual thing?

WEISS: So Deputy Attorney General Todd Weiss again. I would recommend we still look at each one separately and make a separate motion on each even if we end up at the end of the day putting them all in the hearing and combining 'em because they do concern the same issues. But just so the record is clear, I think we need to address each one with a separate motion to vote.

DUPREE: Okay.

1.5

JOHNSON: Nora Johnson for the record, Chair. At the back end of the process, if it is determined that all of these similarly situated grievances do go to hearing, with as much as we can within all practicality, we will schedule them together if that's what the Committee determines.

DUPREE: Okay. Way back in the dark ages before I moved to the state, I worked in Human Resources and I keep running in my own brain to what they're asking us to do here

is violate federal law. I don't like that, but that's just me.

RUSSELL: Turessa for the record.

DUPREE: Yup?

1.5

2.4

RUSSELL: I make a motion that we move grievance

9366 forward to hearing with the scope of the grievance

limited to consistent application of case law and regulations.

DUPREE: Okay. We have a motion. Do we have any discussion on that motion or a second? For lack of a second, that motion has died. Anybody else have any thoughts? We got to do something.

Mhere the employers are required to pay for employees half hour lunch breaks. I noted that in my notes here, federal law doesn't give employees the right to time off to eat lunch or the right to take short breaks during the workday. Although employees must be paid for shorter breaks they are allowed to take during the day, employers are not required to provide these breaks -- and to provide the breaks. So we are -- I think the federal law is pertaining to the providing the 15-minute breaks or 10-minute breaks --

DUPREE: Right.

GORDON: -- but not --

DUPREE: Not the one --

GORDON: -- not the meal period.

RUSSELL: Turessa, for the record.

2 DUPREE: Yep.

1

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

RUSSELL: In going through this grievance, there are multiple codes, regulations, laws, that were noted and from previous experience on this Committee, I definitely feel that there is, how do I word that, a need to move this forward so that we can get the full facts. I am not comfortable discussing the meat of the grievance during a discussion on whether or not it should be moved forward.

Deputy Attorney General Todd Weiss. WEISS: just piggyback on what Member Russell was saying. The purpose of this part of the agenda and these items are strictly to decide if there is an issue to move forward on and we have the jurisdiction moving forward on it. What I'm hearing, I think that's been established, so I don't think we need to get too much into the weeds of the issues themselves at this point in this junction. The purpose of if we move it forward is that the parties will submit briefs with evidence packets where they break down everything they think we need to know about these codes, these statutes, whatever else is at hand and at that point, when we have the actual hearing on it, we can look at the individual code statutes, the meat of grievance but right now, the only question that we need to decide are do we have jurisdiction and is there a grievable issue to move forward a hearing not.

1 DUPREE: Okay. Does anybody have any other motions or, Turessa, do you want to try your motion again and we'll 2 see if we can get a second on it? 3 Thank you, Chair. Turessa Russell for the 4 RUSSELL: 5 record. I motion that we move grievance 9366 for Tyrone 6 Cromwell forward to hearing with the scope of the hearing 7 limited to the consistent application of the case law and 8 regulations. 9 CASE: This is Ava Case for the record. I second that. 10 Okay. We have a motion and a second. All 11 DUPREE: in favor of adopting that motion in grievance number 9366, 12 Tyrone Cromwell of the Department of Corrections, say aye. 13 14 MEMBERS: Aye. Okay. Motion passes unanimously. DUPREE: 15 Chair? 16 JOHNSON: That brings us to item number 22. 17 DUPREE: Chair DuPree? 18 JOHNSON: 19 DUPREE: Yep? 20 Nora Johnson for the record. JOHNSON: 21 grievance and the grievances that were heard prior, similarly 22 situated grievances, are scheduled for hearing, DHRM can 23 provide a subject-matter expert regarding federal law (inaudible). 2.4

25

DUPREE:

And I think that would be a great thing to

have at those hearings. 1 2 JOHNSON: Yes, sir. DUPREE: That's just my opinion. That brings us to 3 Item Number 22, discussion, possible action related to 4 grievance 9481 Vironica Banks, Department of Corrections. 5 Anybody have any thoughts on this one? 6 7 RUSSELL: Turessa Russell for the record, Yes, Turessa. 8 DUPREE: 9 RUSSELL: I'm leaning toward to moving -- leaning in the direction of moving this forward also. 10 11 DUPREE: Okay. I apologize. The rest of my comment just 12 RUSSELL: went out of my head. 13 14 DUPREE: If you want to take a second, we can let 15 you do that. Turessa for the record. 16 RUSSELL: Oh, 17 DUPREE: Yes? I do want to acknowledge the fact that 18 RUSSELL: even if we hear a grievance, we are not bound or limited to 19 20 only what the grievance proposed resolution is. I wanted to 21 take that into account cause we do have some new members. 22 DUPREE: Okay. Any discussion on that motion or a 23 second? Mary for the record. 2.4 GORDON:

Yes, Mary?

25

GORDON: I was just -- may I ask for the reason behind moving it forward on what --

RUSSELL: I wish I -- let me pull up the grievance.

I'm going off of my notes. Turessa for the record.

CASE: The one thing I had questioned in my notes, this is Ava for the record, down towards the end she was questioning sexual harassment, but I did not see any reports or anything towards that that we could move forward on that side.

RUSSELL: Turessa for the record.

DUPREE: Yep. Yes, Turessa?

1.5

RUSSELL: We have to be aware that due to higher venues, we cannot hear or consider the sexual harassment or the -- any -- and I'm not saying it's in this case here, any retaliation. There's other venues that would be more appropriate for those particular issues.

DUPREE: My question then becomes if you carve those two things out, is there anything left of the grievance that we can look at? She's talking about -- she talks a little bit about sexual harassment and retaliation, a little bit about ADA, there's a whole bunch of different stuff in there.

GORDON: Mary for the record. If we look at her proposed resolution, she wants -- Lieutenant Willetts (phonetic) needs to be disciplined for his willful negligence

in carrying out essential functions of his duties. If I understanding correctly, we don't have the jurisdiction, the agency does not have the jurisdiction, to impose discipline, right, on somebody.

DUPREE: Correct.

2.4

GORDON: Also it appears that that lieutenant was reassigned to a different location so that pretty much resolved her issue with Lieutenant Willett and working directly with him.

DUPREE: Okay.

GORDON: Regarding the ADA and the retaliatory concerns, that would be out of -- I believe out of our jurisdiction and would need to be reviewed through a different venue.

DUPREE: Okay. So with that discussion, I'm now confused where are we at. I mean, we're in favor of moving it forward and we're in favor of not moving it forward. Does anybody want to put that in --

GORDON: Mary for the record.

DUPREE: Yep.

GORDON: I move to deny grievance 9481. I'm not quite sure, but based on lack of jurisdiction, as it should be heard in a different venue.

DUPREE: Okay. I got to get clarification cause I may be confused. Turessa, have you made a motion or were you

just talking about making a motion in discussion? 1 No, I was -- Turessa for the record. RUSSELL: 2 was only giving my thoughts. 3 DUPREE: 4 Okav. 5 RUSSELL: I believe that Nora has clear verbiage on denying the hearing -- a motion for denying moving the 6 7 grievance forward to a hearing for lack of jurisdiction or previous (inaudible). 9 DUPREE: I think that would be -- go ahead. (Inaudible) that the relief was available 10 RUSSELL: in another venue, but the exact wording is not coming to my 11 head. 12 Okay. So we have Mary's motion on the 13 DUPREE: 14 floor and just for fun, I'll second that motion. I believe 1.5 the statute we would use when we don't have jurisdiction is 16 284.695 and Nora is nodding her head to me, so yay for the win. So with that, all in favor of denying grievance --17 what's the number on this thing? 18 Turessa for the record? 19 RUSSELL: 20 DUPREE: Yes, Turessa. 21 Can the motion please be restated so I'm RUSSELL: 22 clear on what I'm voting on, please? 23 DUPREE: Okay. Can you restate your motion? Mary for the record. I motion to deny 24 GORDON:

grievance number 9481 based on lack of jurisdiction and wrong

25

1 venue. It sounded much better the first time. Todd, would it be better to say based on 2 DUPREE: lack of jurisdiction and the wrong venue under Section NAC 3 284.695? 4 5 WEISS: Todd Weiss, Deputy Attorney General. I 6 don't think you need to cite the statutes that you're denying 7 the jurisdiction on. 8 DUPREE: Okay. 9 WEISS: It doesn't need to be that specific. think just saying that we're denying the grievance cause it's 10 out of our jurisdiction and relief can be found in another 11 venue is all we need to say. 12 Okay. So we have a motion on the floor 13 DUPREE: 14 and I'll second it. Any discussion on that motion? Hearing none, all in favor of denying grievance 9481 due to of 15 16 jurisdiction, say aye. 17 MEMBERS: Aye. 18 DUPREE: Any opposed? Motion carries unanimously. Which brings us to discussion of lawful action related to 19 20 grievance 9506, Delia Wolfe, Department of Corrections. 21 GORDON: Mary for the record. 22 Yes, Mary. DUPREE: 23 GORDON: This is similar to the grievance that was motioned to be heard. 2.4

Yes, it is.

25

1	RUSSELL:	Turessa for the record.	
2	DUPREE:	Yes, Turessa.	
3	RUSSELL:	I make the motion that we move grievance	
4	9506 for Delia Wolf	e forward for hearing with the scope of	
5	being limited to the consistent application of case law and		
6	regulations.		
7	DUPREE:	Do I have a second on that motion?	
8	CASE:	This is Ava Case for record. I second.	
9	DUPREE:	Okay, motion and second. All in favor of	
10	the motion, say aye.		
11	MEMBERS:	Aye.	
12	DUPREE:	Mary, you didn't say aye.	
13	GORDON:	Aye.	
14	DUPREE:	Well, say that. I shouldn't lean on it	
15	that way. Say what	ever you want, but you were the only one	
16	hadn't voted.		
17	GORDON:	Opposed.	
18	DUPREE:	Okay.	
19	GORDON:	Nay.	
20	DUPREE:	Well, it's still three to one, so motion	
21	passes. Discussion	, possible action related grievance 9507,	
22	Rashonda Smith, Dep	artment of Corrections.	
23	GORDON:	Mary Gordon for the record.	
24	DUPREE:	We'll go Mary Gordon first, then Turessa.	
25	Mary, go ahead.		

1 GORDON: I just wanted to point out this is a similar case of the other correction that we just voted on. 2 Yes, it is. Turessa, go ahead. 3 DUPREE: Turessa for the record. I motion that we 4 RUSSELL: 5 move grievance 9507 forward for hearing with the scope of the hearing being limited to consistent application of case law 6 7 and regulations. Do I have a second? 8 DUPREE: 9 CASE: This is Ava Case for the record. second. 10 Okay. All in favor of the motion, say 11 DUPREE: aye. 12 13 MEMBERS: Aye. Opposed. Okay. 14 GORDON: Three to one. That one passes. Okay, discussion, possible action of grievance 9545, 15 Erin Parks, Department of Corrections. 16 Mary Gordon for the record. 17 GORDON: 18 DUPREE: Mary Gordon for the record. Yes, Mary. GORDON: Based on the proposed resolution, I don't 19 20 feel that we have the jurisdiction. 21 DUPREE: Anybody have any thoughts on that? 22 RUSSELL: Turessa for the record. 23 DUPREE: Yes, Turessa. RUSSELL: There have been multiple grievances 24

throughout the years, and I think on about year 13 on the

25

```
Committee where we have heard a grievance and not been able to
 1
    grant the proposed resolutions, but quite often we can come up
 2
    with a different recommendation or outcome for the hearing.
 3
                        Okay. Well, you made a motion to deny
 4
         DUPREE:
 5
    because we couldn't do the possible solution. Did we get a
    second on that one yet or were we still discussing?
 6
 7
                        This is Ava (inaudible) Director.
         CASE:
                        Yes, Ava.
 8
         DUPREE:
 9
         CASE:
                        When I was reading through it, to me this
    is just a procedure as far as interviewing and I'm not sure we
10
    have jurisdiction over that.
11
         DUPREE:
                        Okay. Would you like to second Mary's
12
   motion then?
13
14
         CASE:
                        Is there a motion out on the floor?
                        She's put a motion out. We just haven't
15
         DUPREE:
    gotten a second on it yet. We're still discussing.
16
17
         CASE:
                        Can I hear the motion again please?
18
         DUPREE:
                        Yeah. Mary, you want to do it again?
                        Mary for the record. I motion to deny
19
         GORDON:
20
    grievance number 9545 based on lack of jurisdiction.
21
         CASE:
                        This is Ava Case for the record.
22
    second.
23
         DUPREE:
                        Okay.
                               All in favor of the motion to deny
    grievance 9545 based on lack of jurisdiction, say aye.
24
```

25

MEMBERS:

Aye.

Any opposed? RUSSELL: Nay. 2 Okay. By three to one margin, the motion 3 DUPREE: to deny grievance 9545 due to lack of jurisdiction passes. 4 5 Brings us to Item Number 26, discussion, possible motion of grievance 9468, Bridget Bliss, Department of Corrections. 6 Anybody have any thoughts on that one? 7 Mary Gordon for the record. 8 GORDON: 9 DUPREE: Yes, Mary. I motion to deny grievance 9468 based on 10 GORDON: this should -- it appears that this should go through a 11 different venue. She alleges discrimination and retaliatory 12 actions. 13 14 DUPREE: Okay, so that'd be a lack of jurisdiction? GORDON: Yes. 15 16 DUPREE: All right. Turessa Russell for the record. 17 RUSSELL: 18 DUPREE: Yes, Turessa. I also see in my notes that I had 19 20 retaliation as a jurisdiction issue. I do also note that 21 there was a question of consistent application of policy. 22 DUPREE: Okay. 23 That, Turessa for the record, we do have jurisdiction to find out if there is consistent application of 24

DUPREE:

1

25

policy.

DUPREE: So right now, Mary has a motion on the floor to deny the grievance. That motion has not been seconded -- I don't think it has been seconded yet so we're still discussing. So does somebody want to second Mary's motion or do we want to go -- does anybody want a second Mary's motion? Hearing none, that motion dies, but it could be resurrected. We don't know. Turessa, do you have a motion?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

RUSSELL: Turessa for the record. Give me a second.

I am looking into more detail at the grievance itself.

DUPREE: You got it. That's why we're here.

WEISS: Chair, this is Deputy Attorney General I want put something out there for the Todd Weiss. Committee's consideration. Work schedules and telework privileges are always considered internal management decisions. Under no circumstance could we direct the agency to give somebody telework privileges or compressed work schedules, nothing like that. We can't touch it. I don't know how else to just put that plainly. It's an internal management decision through and through. So while Turessa is correct that we could technically look at, you know, equal application of the policy, which we always have jurisdiction over, you have to think about what, if any relief, we could possibly give in this situation given the fact that we can't intercede in internal management decisions within the agency.

1	DUPREE:	Turessa?
2	RUSSELL:	Turessa for the record.
3	DUPREE:	Yeah, go ahead, Turessa.
4	RUSSELL:	Turessa for the record. I make a motion
5	that we deny grieva	nce 9468 for lack of jurisdiction.
6	GORDON:	Mary for the record.
7	dup	Yes, Mary.
8	GORDON:	I second the motion to deny the 9468.
9	DUPREE:	All in favor of denying grievance 9468?
10	MEMBERS:	Aye.
11	DUPREE:	Motion to deny grievance passes
12	unanimously.	
13	JOHNSON:	Chair Dupree?
14	DUPREE:	Yes?
15	JOHNSON:	DAG Weiss, I do have a question.
16	WEISS:	Yes.
17	JOHNSON:	Do we need to cite NRS 284.02072 based on
18	that discussion?	
19	WEISS:	Yeah, yeah. You know, I think with that
20	one that would be appropriate.	
21	JOHNSON:	Just for the template letter when it goes
22	to the grievant?	
23	WEISS:	Yeah, I agree. I think that that would
24	normally it's not necessary, but I think with that one,	
25	because it's a close issue, the citing of the statute would be	

helpful to the grievance. 1 Okay. JOHNSON: Thank you. 2 Thank you, Nora. 3 RUSSELL: You're welcome, Turessa. 4 JOHNSON: 5 DUPREE: That brings us to discussion and possible 6 action related to grievance 9542, Suzanne Suter, Department of 7 Agriculture. Turessa for the record. 8 9 DUPREE: Yes, Turessa? The census is on a written reprimand. 10 RUSSELL: don't remember specifics. I'm thinking we should move this 11 one forward also. 12 Mary Gordon. Mary for the record. 13 GORDON: 14 DUPREE: Yes, Mary? 15 GORDON: May I ask the reasoning to move forward? 16 DUPREE: Turessa, Mary wants to know your thoughts 17 on why you want to move this forward. 18 RUSSELL: Oh, thank you. Turessa for the record. There's a question on whether or not all the NAC violations 19 20 are appropriate. I'm not indicating that it would probably 21 remove the reprimand that there have been instances where they 22 have needed to be corrected for accuracy. 23 GORDON: So I'd have to go -- I'm thinking, if I recall correctly, there was an administrative investigation 24

completed, there were statements obtained by other employees

involved that witnessed her behavior towards this driver. 1 would recommend, based on the management and the witness 2 statements and the process, I feel the process was followed to 3 issue the written reprimand and feel that the employee was 4 5 rude and discourteous to the driver. I think that I recommend denying the grievance. 6 7 RUSSELL: Turessa for the record. Yes, Turessa? 8 DUPREE: 9 RUSSELL: For clarification, am I hearing in motion on the table to deny grievance 9542 per previous decision of 10 11 the EMC? 12 DUPREE: We were just discussing at the moment but, Mary, do you want to put that in as a motion? 13 Mary for the record. 14 GORDON: Sure. I motion to deny grievance 9542 based on the process for the written 15 16 reprimand was appropriate. Deputy District Attorney Todd Weiss. 17 WEISS: 18 Member Gordon, we can't determine something was appropriate without a hearing. 19 20 DUPREE: Okay. 21 All right. GORDON: 22 That's only allowed to be made after a WEISS: 23 full hearing. So let --2.4 GORDON:

25

WEISS:

At this point, you can only accept or deny

based on strictly jurisdiction. Either it's not a grievable issue or we have previous decisions that warrant either, 2 moving forward or denying. We can make no factual or legal 3 determinations on the substance of grievance based on just 4 5 what's in the grievance. Thank you. Let, I'd like -- Mary for the 6 7 record. I'd like to correct my motion. 8 DUPREE: Okay, Mary. 9 GORDON: I'd like to deny grievance number 9542. Okay. Turessa made a motion earlier to 10 DUPREE: move it forward, and I asked for clarification and then it 11 turned into a motion about denying. So let's first deal with 12 Turessa's motion to move it forward. Do we have a second on 13 Turessa's motion to move it forward? Hearing none, that 14 1.5 motion dies. Do we have a second on Mary's motion to deny the 16 grievance? This is Ava Case for the record. 17 CASE: Yes. I, 18 I agree. Do you second? 19 DUPREE: 20 CASE: Second. Sorry. Second. Yes. 21 Thank you, Ava. Okay. We have a motion DUPREE: 22 and a second to deny this grievance. All in favor of denying 23 this grievance, say aye.

2.4

25

MEMBERS:

DUPREE:

Aye.

Any opposed?

RUSSELL: I'm going to abstain on this one.

2 DUPREE: Okay.

1.5

3 | JOHNSON: Chair Dupree?

DUPREE: Yes.

JOHNSON: Just a point of order.

DUPREE: Uh-huh.

regarding Item Number 27, but item number 28th is discussion and possible action related to grievance 9626 of Tiffany Alexander, who was with the Department of Public Safety. Mary Gordon is also with the Department of Public Safety. This agenda was built prior to her appointment and I failed to remove it from set agenda. So with DAG Weiss' approval, if you would prefer to strike it from this agenda, we can put it on another one as it's simply a discussion item.

RUSSELL: Point of order, Turessa.

DUPREE: Yes, Turessa, go ahead.

RUSSELL: Unfortunately, from where Nora is situated compared to the microphone, she is not coming in clearly down here in the south.

DUPREE: Okay. Nora just said that it was discussion of Item Number 28, motion and possible action of grievance 9626. That grievant works for the Department of Public Safety, where our newest member is a personnel officer. Therefore, she is conflicted out from it and it should have

been removed from the agenda and we're going to pretend it's not there. Is that a good summary, Nora?

RUSSELL: Turessa for the record.

DUPREE: Yes, Turessa?

1.5

2.4

RUSSELL: Can I make a motion that this be moved to a agenda at our hearing at a future date, and can she at least vote on that or do we just make a motion to remove it from the agenda as it has been approved?

JOHNSON: Nora Johnson for the record. Correct me if I am wrong, DAG Weiss, but there doesn't need to be a motion. It's an administrative error. We can strike it and move on with our day.

DUPREE: Yeah. All right.

WEISS: That's correct. Deputy Attorney General Todd Weiss. Yeah, if we're talking about moving into a different new agenda, that doesn't require a motion. That can just be an administrative decision from the Chair.

DUPREE: Okay. Strike that from this agenda.

Turessa, you never registered your vote on item number 27, the motion to deny. The vote was three in favor of moving to deny the grievance. This is on nine five -- what is it, 9626,

Tiffany Alexander -- oh, no, no, not that one. It was 9542,

Suzanne Suter, and we have three of us have voted to deny the grievance. You had not voted yet.

RUSSELL: Turessa for the record, I apologize for

not being loud enough to be heard. I abstained because I am 1 having issues. 2 Okav. Got it. 3 DUPREE: Nora Johnson for the record. 4 JOHNSON: 5 DUPREE: Yep. 6 DAG Weiss, point of order, I don't believe JOHNSON: 7 that we have or previously used the option to abstain. there are issues with the grievance, a member can clearly vocalize that they do not agree with that, but I don't recall ever abstaining from a vote. 10 What if we register her opposition as a 11 DUPREE: opposed? So --12 13 RUSSELL: Turessa. 14 DUPREE: Yes, Turessa. 15 I'll change my vote from an abstention to RUSSELL: an opposition. 16 Okay. With three to one the motion to 17 DUPREE: 18 deny grievance 9542 passes. Which brings us to public comment. Any member of the public can comment if they want. 19 20 We cannot vote on anything brought up in public comment until 21 (inaudible) to an agenda. Do we have any public in the south? 22 UNIDENTIFIED: We have two proposed comments here. 23 DUPREE: Okay. Turessa for the record. 2.4 RUSSELL:

Yeah. Yes, Turessa?

25

DUPREE:

RUSSELL: Would it be beneficial for people in the north to have any individual that's making public comment to come to the table and sit in the chair or stand behind the table where they can be seen by the camera?

DUPREE: It'd probably be helpful. Yeah.

WEISS: I think that's appropriate. Whoever wants to start, come over to the chair.

RINGWALT: This fine?

1.5

UNIDENTIFIED: (Inaudible.)

DUPREE: And to the people that are publicly commenting, state your name for the record, please.

member of the public, but I'm also a 16-year employee for the state of Nevada. I just want to share some of my experiences. It's not a disfortune (SIC) that I ended up here today and I haven't been here prior to the last year and the incidents that have happened to me. So from what I understand, and there seems to be a little bit of confusion, but from what I understand is that the EMC does not have the jurisdiction to impose discipline. All of the information I'm talking about today is public record and I got it from the Employee

Management Committee website, where a decision was made to enforce disciplinary action on an employee where none had taken place prior to. And when I requested the public records to support that, it was shown that the employee did in fact

provide the evidence to the Committee to show A, no prior disciplinary action had been taken against that employee prior to the decision based by the EMC on June 9th, 2022, and also that the employee had provided to the Employee Management Committee evidence that they were in fact not in violation of the Governor's directive policy memorandum, whatever we're calling it, because the Department of Health and Human Services had provided evidence, in fact, that employee was in compliance at the time they were written up. So it just seems to me that maybe these cases should be taken a little bit more seriously as they do impact the lives of your peers and their families, and I really hope that all of the evidence will be looked at and considered prior to making decisions. an important duty that you all have and I hope that you understand the severity of that. Also, I'd like to point out the fact that a hearing was granted to employees without a prior discussion from the Committee. So I'm kind of curious as to how that happened because it's my understanding the discussions such as that are happening today need to take place in a public meeting prior to making a decision to move grievance to a hearing. That was not done.

DUPREE: This is a public meeting, ma'am.

RINGWALT: I'm sorry?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

DUPREE: This meeting is a public meeting.

RINGWALT: Correct. However, the Committee has

granted hearings in the past without a prior discussion in a public meeting so I'm not sure if that was done maliciously or if it was just overlooked and oversight. Nonetheless, I do understand that that should have taken place. So what happened as a result of that is that the employee wasn't given the opportunity to have the grievance discussed by the Committee in a public forum to determine whether or not to A, grant the hearing, B, deny the grievance or C, grant the grievance without a hearing. So what happened as a result of that, the employee was granted hearing without prior discussion subject to having to provide all the documented evidence that was not looked at, send it up to Carson City, and now there are medical information, (inaudible) information, employment information, everything is now public record because they were denied the due process in having their grievance discussed prior to being granted a hearing. So just -- if you could just, you know, hold that knowledge as your guiding light to doing the right thing for going to the state of Nevada. And that's clock out. Thank you. And thank you for your service. Thank you. I appreciate that. I'm just disappointed that it doesn't work and also anybody that's here for a grievance denied, you have the option to file an appeal at the judicial court. They're not going to tell you that, but you do have that right, which is another thing I was denied as well. Thank you.

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHUNG: All right. My name is Perry Chung for the record. P-E-R-R-Y, last name Chung, C-H-U-N-G. Today, by its own approval of the meeting minutes for its meetings going back over two years to September 9th, 2021, listed as agenda items 5 through 18, the EMC has demonstrated 14 gross violations of Nevada Open Meeting Law, specifically NRS 241.035 requirements that a public body shall approve the minutes of the meeting within 45 days after the meeting or at the next meeting of the public body, whichever occurs later. The public is entitled to an explanation of this Committee's failure to timely draft and approve their meeting minutes per statutory requirements and why this Committee eventually subcontracted a third party outside of the EMC to transcribe the meeting audio so that the Committee could post these transcripts to their website in lieu of drafting its actual meeting in its further statutory obligations of the Nevada public body. Additionally, per NRS 284.071, the EMC is required to meet at least once every three months. Committee violated this requirement as well when they failed to conduct a meeting during the months of October, November, and December 2022. The inability of this Committee to maintain an adequate forum to conduct its regular meetings is also a cause for concern. By denying grievance 9532 without a hearing, the EMC has denied my right to due process and the chance to present the facts and evidence to substantiate.

1

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Division of Mortgage Lending, the Department of Business and Industry, and Agency HR ignored the specific requirements outlined in the EMC's written decision, 34-22, failed to follow the transparent and strict HR procedures replacing -removing, or replacing the disciplinary document in my otherwise impeccable service jacket at DHR and central records because of the EMC's intentional choice to ignore public data evidence from the Nevada Department of Health and Human Services Office of Analytics that not only prove that I was not insubordinate for declining weekly COVID-19 testing after it became optional, but also absolved me of the allegations outlined in the original written reprimand dated September This Committee refuses to hold the Department of 24th, 2021. Business and Industry, Agency HR, or the Department of Administration accountable for ignoring the requirements outlined in its supposedly binding written decision 34-22 and in doing so, has chosen to be a complicit partner in the state of Nevada's retaliation against me for disclosing improper governmental action and abuses of official authority or influence, and its members have simultaneously failed to remedy the Department of Business and Industry's retaliatory action of which this Committee was made aware on notice when I filed and escalated grievances 8417 and 9352. I timely filed grievance 9532 outlining these injustices and Agency HR and the Department of Administration failed to provide the

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

relevant documents or even offer a substantive response during this process when the grievance was escalated up the chain of command, suggesting that there is no dispute with my grievance or my proposed resolution of removal of the inappropriate written reprimands that were set for records on March 27th, 2023. And yet ultimately, rather than grant my grievance without a hearing or grant me a hearing on this matter, this Committee has unilaterally decided that my employer is not required to address the acts that I feel constitute injustices and that I'm not entitled to even be heard. For the record, Agency HR has not proven that the original written reprimand of September 24th, 2021 was ever properly executed, legally placed in, and then legally removed from my permanent service jacket, or that the improperly created, revised, written reprimand was properly and transparently executed following the proper chain of custody. This suggests that either the original written reprimand was never fully executed by an appointing authority and enforceable at any time, or that Agency HR and or/the appointing authorities of the Department of Business and Industry in the Division of Mortgage Lending are maintaining secret files that are prohibited under NAC 284.734. Either way, the appropriate resolution to this grievance is removal of the improperly issued written reprimand. While I cannot change the disappointing outcome of this Committee's discussion of grievance 9532, I will use my

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

remaining time to formally request that this Committee timely provides me its final written decision so that I may petition to a judicial district court for a judicial review of the EMC's decision to deny my grievance 9532 today without a hearing. I encourage any of my fellow state employees up north or down here that disagree with or are otherwise unsatisfied with EMC's decision on your grievance today to explore their right to do the same. Thank you very much. DUPREE: Thank you. Any other public comment?

Hearing none, the Chair would like -- without objection, the Chair would like to move to adjourn this meeting. Meeting adjourned.

Thank you. RUSSELL:

\*\*\* END OF MEETING